

Message

From: Warren, Bob (ECY) [rwar461@ECY.WA.GOV]
Sent: 9/27/2019 8:11:22 PM
To: Blocker, Shawn [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=d17fa933bd2440f6924c350f7382b234-Blocker, Shawn]
CC: Cerise, Kathy [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=3ccf3f66d09943fe914be271fc992084-Hernandez, Kathryn]
Subject: RE: Quendall Terminals - Draft email to Shawn Blocker

Thanks Shawn and Kathryn.

Robert W. Warren
Section Manager
Toxics Cleanup Program
425-649-7054

From: Blocker, Shawn <Blocker.Shawn@epa.gov>
Sent: Friday, September 27, 2019 12:53 PM
To: Warren, Bob (ECY) <rwar461@ECY.WA.GOV>
Cc: Cerise, Kathy <Cerise.Kathryn@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Quendall Terminals - Draft email to Shawn Blocker

Absolutely.

From: Warren, Bob (ECY) <rwar461@ECY.WA.GOV>
Sent: Friday, September 27, 2019 12:16 PM
To: Blocker, Shawn <Blocker.Shawn@epa.gov>
Subject: Quendall Terminals - Draft email to Shawn Blocker
Importance: High

Thanks Shawn.

Since EPA cannot perform the requested work, Ecology needs to move forward with the work. To that end, we need the sediment data set. Can Lucy McInerney reach out to Kathy Cerise to obtain the data?

To reiterate, Ecology must conduct this work to verify EPA's expectation that the Proposed Plan for OU-2 will meet the regional background value Ecology has established for cPAHs in Lake Washington. The outcome of our evaluation will factor into how Ecology can concur with the future ROD.

Thanks in advance for your assistance,

Bob

Robert W. Warren
Section Manager
Toxics Cleanup Program
425-649-7054

From: Blocker, Shawn <Blocker.Shawn@epa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 8:34 AM

To: Warren, Bob (ECY) <rwar461@ECY.WA.GOV>
Subject: RE: Quendall Terminals - Draft email to Shawn Blocker

Yes, no, no.....and still waiting on Glen to give us his number, which hopefully will come before the comment period is up....otherwise, we may have to use the one we calculated (which should be the same), but I prefer his number. If we don't get it in time, we will put a placeholder in the ROD somehow that says we are going to use it as soon as its generated, and it should be somewhere near our calculated value.

From: Warren, Bob (ECY) <rwar461@ECY.WA.GOV>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2019 6:26 AM
To: Blocker, Shawn <Blocker.Shawn@epa.gov>
Subject: Quendall Terminals - Draft email to Shawn Blocker
Importance: High

Shawn

First I hope you had a restful time off and did something enjoyable.

Second, thank you for your response. I appreciate your willingness to address our concerns, and want to clarify next steps and timing.

Will EPA generate the bulleted information requested in the email below? Or provide the necessary data? What would be the timing?

Thanks in advance for your clarification.

Hope the public meeting goes well tonight.

Regards

Bob

Robert W. Warren
Section Manager
Toxics Cleanup Program
425-649-7054

From: Blocker, Shawn <Blocker.Shawn@epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, August 28, 2019 9:51 AM
To: Warren, Bob (ECY) <rwar461@ECY.WA.GOV>
Cc: Terada, Calvin <Terada.Calvin@epa.gov>; Cerise, Kathy <Cerise.Kathryn@epa.gov>; Holsman, Marianne <Holsman.Marianne@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Approved - Issue Quendall Terminals Proposed Plans

Bob;

Thanks for the below. I think we can find creative ways to address the below concerns, or at least get closer. We we're given the green light to release the PP on September 9th, and it is in the same revised version the below comments were generated from. We are still in the process of finalizing everything, and as we set times/dates for meeting, I (or Kathy) will let you know ASAP. We will be generating some fact sheets/releasable materials, and if you are interested in previews, let me know.

From: Warren, Bob (ECY) <rwar461@ECY.WA.GOV>
Sent: Wednesday, August 28, 2019 8:59 AM
To: Blocker, Shawn <Blocker.Shawn@epa.gov>
Subject: Approved - Issue Quendall Terminals Proposed Plans

Shawn,

Thank you for your email. As you know, Ecology strongly supports your cleanup efforts.

To address our concern about use of the Lake Washington Regional Background cPAH value, EPA has proposed adding language to the Proposed Plan: *EPA expects to meet all risk-based criteria and background values applicable to the site upon completion of remedial action.* We appreciate this gesture, but need verification that the expectation is likely to be met. Would it be possible for EPA to:

- Generate a figure showing the current boundary of the sediment portion of the site and the value driving that boundary – Yes!
- Include on this same figure a footprint based on Ecology's regional background value – no
- Calculate a post-cleanup construction SWAC for the area defined by our regional background value-no

Alternatively, if sediment investigation data generated by EPA is in EIM, Ecology could potentially do this work.

With regard to the risk assessment, we look forward to seeing the calculations of the Muckleshoot Indian Tribe.

Once we have the information above, we can determine our position on concurrence and how we can support EPA's success.

Thank you for continuing to work to address our concerns.

Bob

Robert W. Warren
Section Manager
Toxics Cleanup Program
425-649-7054

From: Blocker, Shawn <Blocker.Shawn@epa.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 26, 2019 8:30 AM
To: Warren, Bob (ECY) <rwar461@ECY.WA.GOV>; Glen St. Amant <Glen@muckleshoot.nsn.us>
Cc: Cerise, Kathy <Cerise.Kathryn@epa.gov>; Pendowski, Jim (ECY) <jpen461@ECY.WA.GOV>; Fleming, Sheila <fleming.sheila@epa.gov>; Allnutt, David <Allnutt.David@epa.gov>; Terada, Calvin <Terada.Calvin@epa.gov>; Yackulic, Ted <yackulic.ted@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Approved - Issue Quendall Terminals Proposed Plans

Glen and Bob;

We have finally been given approval to issue both the uplands and in-water proposed plans for Quendall Terminals. I believe we have added the language that may satisfy the background issue (I hope!), but we have not yet included the tribal calculation since Glen just started working on it again. **WE WILL INCLUDE IT....**when it is done. The Plan is currently "frozen" since we are starting the issuance process, so I can't put it in the Plan prior to release. My recommendation is that if Glens' risk assessors complete their calculations during the comment period, provide the result as a formal comment on the proposed plan. If it is not complete by the end of the comment period, provide a comment that it needs to be included once completed (which again...**WE WILL INCLUDE IT**). As we have stated, for background, we will not be able to use the Lake Washington Background Value calculated by Ecology, and we really

don't want to respond formally in a Response to Comments why not. So I suggest a "creative" comment from the State that addresses your concerns and allows us to graciously decline.

Gentlemen....this has taken us 13 months to get through HQ. We intend on releasing it within two weeks. We will spend over 60 million dollars on this project, use a cutting edge technology that will not create the massive odor issues of ISS, and finish the last really bad site on Lake Washington. Without concurrence....it ain't happening. I have bent as far as I can to accommodate your concerns. Help me finish this...

I will give you all of the specifics of the release as soon as I get them. And sincerely thank you for all the support both of you have provided through this process, I really do appreciate it.

From: Fonseca, Silvina <Fonseca.Silvina@epa.gov>

Sent: Monday, August 26, 2019 5:44 AM

To: Allnutt, David <Allnutt.David@epa.gov>; Fleming, Sheila <fleming.sheila@epa.gov>

Cc: Hoverman, Taylor <hoverman.taylor@epa.gov>; Doyle, Brett <doyle.brett@epa.gov>; Woolford, James <Woolford.James@epa.gov>; Stalcup, Dana <Stalcup.Dana@epa.gov>; Lowery, Brigid <Lowery.Brigid@epa.gov>; Healy, Helena <Healy.Helena@epa.gov>; Mackey, Cyndy <Mackey.Cyndy@epa.gov>; DeLeon, Rafael <DeLeon.Rafael@epa.gov>; Poore, Christine <Poore.Christine@epa.gov>; Ammon, Doug <Ammon.Doug@epa.gov>; Gartner, Lois <Gartner.Lois@epa.gov>; Cerise, Kathy <Cerise.Kathryn@epa.gov>; Blocker, Shawn <Blocker.Shawn@epa.gov>; Yackulic, Ted <yackulic.ted@epa.gov>

Subject: Approved - Issue Quendall Terminals Proposed Plans

Good morning David and Sheila,

The Administrator has given his approval to move forward and issue the two Proposed Plans for the Quendall Terminals Site for public comment.

I am cc 'ng OSRTI and OSRE on this email to make sure everyone is aware.

Please let me know if you need anything further at this time.

Silvina Fonseca
Senior Advisor
Office of Land and Emergency Management
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Desk: 202.564.1955
Cell: 202.306.6844